4. AN AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE TO BE OFFERED BY REPRESENTATIVE SPRATT OF SOUTH CAROLINA, OR HIS DESIGNEE.

DEBATABLE FOR 60 MINUTES:

AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE

TO H. CON RES. 393, AS REPORTED

OFFERED BY MR. SPRATT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Strike all after the resolving clause and insert the following:

1	SECTION 1. CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET
2	FOR FISCAL YEAR 2005.
3	The Congress declares that the concurrent resolution
4	on the budget for fiscal year 2005 is hereby established
5	and that the appropriate levels for fiscal years 2004 and
6	2006 through 2014 are hereby set forth.
7	SEC. 2. RECOMMENDED LEVELS AND AMOUNTS.
8	The following budgetary levels are appropriate for
9	each of fiscal years 2004 through 2014:
10	(1) FEDERAL REVENUES.—For purposes of the
11	enforcement of this resolution:
12	(A) The recommended levels of Federal
13	revenues are as follows:
14	Fiscal year 2004:
15	\$ <u>1,272,700,000,000</u> . Fiscal year 2005:
16	Fiscal year 2005:
17	\$ <i>],468,669,000,000</i> Fiscal year 2006:
18	Fiscal year 2006:
19	\$ 1,637,300,000,000.

		. –		
1		Fiscal	year	2007:
2		\$ 1,759,100,000,0	000	
3		Fiscal	year	2008:
4		\$1854,700,000,0	000	
5		Fiscal	year	2009:
6		\$1,965,800,000,0	000	
7		Fiscal	year	2010:
8		\$ <u>2,075,800,000,</u>	<u>000</u> .	
9		Fiscal	year	2011:
10		\$ 2,290,100,000	. 600	
11	*.	Fiscal	year	2012:
12		\$ 2494,600,000	<u>1000</u> .	•
13		Fiscal	year	2013:
14		\$ <u>2628,900,000,</u>	<u>000</u> .	
15		Fiscal	year	2014:
16		\$ 2,773,500,000,	<u>000</u> .	
17		(B) The amoun	ts by which th	
18	leve	ls of Federal reve	enues should be	changed as
19	follo	ows:		
20		Fiscal	year	2004:
21		\$-100,000,000	······································	
22		Fiscal	year	2005:
23		\$_8,600,000,000	*	
24		Fiscal	year	2006:
25		\$-16,900,000,00	٥	



1	Fiscal	year	2007:
2	\$ <u>4,200,000,000</u> .		
3	Fiscal	year	2008:
4	\$ <i>8,900,000,000</i> .		
5	Fiscal	year	2009:
6	\$ <i>12,700,000,000</i> .		
7	Fiscal	year	2010:
8	\$ <u>12,200,000,000</u> .	<i>‡</i>	
9	Fiscal	year	2011:
10	\$ <u>\$,500,000,000</u> .		
11	Fiscal	year	2012:
12	\$ <u>10,200,000,000</u> .		
13	Fiscal	year	2013:
14	\$ <u>10,900,000,000</u> .		
15	Fiscal	year	2014:
16	\$_[[₁ 600 ₁ 000 ₁ 000		
17	(2) New Budget Author	ORITY.—F	or purposes
18	of the enforcement of this reso	lution, the	appropriate
19	levels of total new budget au	thority are	e as follows:
20	Fiscal year 2004: \$,958,600,1	900,000.
21	Fiscal year 2005: \$_	4031,900,	000,000
22	Fiscal year 2006: \$_	4081,300	000,000
23	Fiscal year 2007: \$_	2220,209	000,000
24	Fiscal year 2008: \$ <u>/</u>	4343,600,	000 ₁ 000.
25	Fiscal year 2009: \$2	470,500,0	<u> 1007, 000</u> .

1	Fiscal year 2010: \$\frac{2\sqrt{2\sqrt{6}, \lambda\
2	Fiscal year 2011: \$2,699,400,000,000.
3	Fiscal year 2012: \$2,778,100,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2013: \$2,905,800,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 2014: \$ <i>3,093,300,000,000</i> .
6	(3) BUDGET OUTLAYS.—For purposes of the
7	enforcement of this resolution, the appropriate levels
8	of total budget outlays are as follows:
9	Fiscal year 2004: \$ //9/7, 600,000,000.
10	Fiscal year 2005: \$ 4,015,800,000,000 .
11	Fiscal year 2006: \$2094,000,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 2007: \$2,194,000,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2008: \$2,305,700,000,000.
14	Fiscal year 2009: \$2427,200,000,000.
15	Fiscal year 2010: \$ <i>2,542,800,000,000</i> .
16	Fiscal year 2011: \$ <i>4674, 000,000,000</i> .
17	Fiscal year 2012: \$ <i>2,746,200,000,000</i> .
18	Fiscal year 2013: \$ <i>4,879,000,000,000</i> .
19	Fiscal year 2014: \$ 3,006,300,000,000 .
20	(4) Deficits.—For purposes of the enforce-
21	ment of this resolution, the amounts of the deficits
22	(on-budget) are as follows:
23	Fiscal year 2004: \$644,900,000,000.
24	Fiscal year 2005: \$ <i>-547,300,000,000</i> .
25	Fiscal year 2006: \$456,700,000,000.

1	Fiscal year 2007: \$ <u>-434,900,000,000</u> .
2	Fiscal year 2008: \$-451,180,000,000.
3	Fiscal year 2009: \$ <u>-461,400,000,000</u> .
4	Fiscal year 2010: \$_467,000,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 2011: \$-383,900,000,000.
6	Fiscal year 2012: \$ <i>-251,600,000,000</i> .
7	Fiscal year 2013: \$ <i>-250,/00,000,000</i> .
8	Fiscal year 2014: \$
9	(5) Public debt.—The appropriate levels of
10	the public debt are as follows:
11	Fiscal year 2004: \$ 7,442,400,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 2005: \$ 8,090,100,000,000 .
13	Fiscal year 2006: \$\\\ 8\lambda 47\lambda 000\lambda 000\lambda 000.
14	Fiscal year 2007: \$ 9,227,000,000,000.
15	Fiscal year 2008: \$9,799,200,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 2009: \$10,384,600,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2010: \$10,978,600,000,000
18	Fiscal year 2011: \$1/488,000,000,000.
19	Fiscal year 2012: \$/1 ₁ 880,100,000,000.
20	Fiscal year 2013: \$12,267,100,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2014: \$121638,200,000,000.
22	(6) DEBT HELD BY THE PUBLIC.—The appro-
23	priate levels of debt held by the public are as follows:
24	Fiscal year 2004: \$ 4/3 92,000,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2005: \$ <i>4,778,500,000,000</i> .

1	Fiscal year 2006: \$ <i>5,055,900,000,000</i> .
2	Fiscal year 2007: \$ <u>5,295,500,000,000</u> .
3	Fiscal year 2008: \$ <i>5,535,700,000,000</i> .
4	Fiscal year 2009: \$ <i>5,772,500,000,000</i>
5	Fiscal year 2010: \$ 6,00/,600,000,000
6	Fiscal year 2011: \$ 6,133,900,000,000
7	Fiscal year 2012: \$ 6,125,000,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 2013: \$ 6/07,600,000,000.
9	Fiscal year 2014: \$ <i>b,066,700,000,000</i> .
10	SEC. 3. MAJOR FUNCTIONAL CATEGORIES.
11	The Congress determines and declares that the ap-
12	propriate levels of new budget authority and outlays for
13	fiscal years 2004 through 2014 for each major functional
14	category are:
15	(1) National Defense (050):
16	Fiscal year 2004:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$ <i>463,600,000,000</i>
19	(B) Outlays, \$ <i>453,000,000,000</i> .
20	Fiscal year 2005:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$ <u>424,200,000</u> .
23	(B) Outlays, \$ 448,300,000,000.
24	Fiscal year 2006:

1		(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$	445,700,	000,000	_•	
3		(B) O	utlays, \$	444,500,000,	<i>000</i>
4	Fi	scal year	2007:		
5		(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$_	466, 100,	000,000	_•	
7		(B) O	utlays, \$_	448,400,00	0,000.
8	Fi	scal year	2008:	· ·	
9		(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$_	488,000,	000,000	•	
11		(B) O	utlays, \$_	467,500,00	90,000 .
12	Fi	scal year	2009:	·	
13		(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$_	510,400,	<u>000,000</u>		
15		(B) O	utlays, \$_	489,300,00	00 ₁ 000.
16	Fi	scal year	2010:		
17		(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	\$ <u>.</u>		000,000		
19		(B) O	utlays, \$	508,900,00	0,000 .
20	F	iscal year	2011:		
21		(A)	New	O	authority,
22	\$_	533,60	0,000,000	<u>0</u> .	
23		(B) O	utlays, \$	528,900,000	1000
24	\mathbf{F}	iscal year	r 2012:		

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ <u>545,900,000,000</u> .
3	(B) Outlays, \$ 534,200,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2013:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ <u>558,200,000,000</u> .
7	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>551,000,000,000</u> .
8	Fiscal year 2014:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ <u>572,000,000,000</u> .
11	(B) Outlays, \$_564,000,000,000
12	(2) International Affairs (150):
13	Fiscal year 2004:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$ <u>43,700,000,000</u> .
16	(B) Outlays, \$29,300,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2005:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ 29,100,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>34,000,000,000</u> .
21	Fiscal year 2006:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ <u>30,700,000,000</u>
24	(B) Outlays, \$_32,000,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2007:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$31,300,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$_ 29,400,000,000
4	Fiscal year 2008:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ <u>31,400,000,000</u>
7	(B) Outlays, \$_ 28,600,000,000
8	Fiscal year 2009:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ <u>32,600,000,000</u> .
11	(B) Outlays, $\frac{29,000,000,000}{}$.
12	Fiscal year 2010:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ <u>33,300,000,000</u> .
15	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>29,400,000,000</u> .
16	Fiscal year 2011:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$ <u>34,000,000,000</u> .
19	(B) Outlays, \$30,000,000,000.
20	Fiscal year 2012:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$ <u>34,100,000,000</u>
23	(B) Outlays, \$ 30,500,000,000.
24	Fiscal year 2013:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 35,400,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$_31,200,000,000
4	Fiscal year 2014:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ <u>36,200,000,000</u> .
7	(B) Outlays, \$31,900,000,000
8	(3) General Science, Space, and Technology
9	(250):
10	Fiscal year 2004:
11	(A) New budget authority,
12	\$23,400,000,000.
13	(B) Outlays, \$ 22,300,000,000.
14	Fiscal year 2005:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	\$ 23,800,000,000.
17	(B) Outlays, \$ 23,200,000,000.
18	Fiscal year 2006:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$ <u>24,100,000,000</u> .
21	(B) Outlays, \$ 23,100,000,000.
22	Fiscal year 2007:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$ <u>24,600,000,000</u> .
25	(B) Outlays, \$24,100,000,000

1	Fiscal year 2008:
2	(A) New budget authority,
3	\$25,100,000,000.
4	(B) Outlays, \$ 24,500,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 2009:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$ <u>25,700,000,000</u> .
8	(B) Outlays, \$\(\frac{25,000,000,000}{\cdot}\).
9	Fiscal year 2010:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$ 26,200,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$ 25,500,000,000 .
13	Fiscal year 2011:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$ 26,800,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$ 24,000,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2012:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ 27,300,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, \$ 26,600,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2013:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ 27,900,000,000
24	(B) Outlays, \$ 27,100,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2014:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 18,500,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>27,700,000,000</u> .
4	(4) Energy (270):
5	Fiscal year 2004:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$_2,400,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$100,000,000
9	Fiscal year 2005:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$ <u>2,500,000,000</u> .
12	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>800,000,000</u> .
13	Fiscal year 2006:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$2,400,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$1,200,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2007:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ <u>2,400,000,000</u> .
20	(B) Outlays, \$_800,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2008:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ <u>21400,000,000 </u>
24	(B) Outlays, \$ 400,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2009:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 2,100,000,000
3.	(B) Outlays, \$_700,000,000
4	Fiscal year 2010:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ <u>2,300,000,000</u> .
7	(B) Outlays, \$_\ \(\gamma \text{00,000,000} \).
8	Fiscal year 2011:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ 2,400,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$_1,000,000,000
12	Fiscal year 2012:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ <u>2,500,000,000</u> .
15	(B) Outlays, \$1,460,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 2013:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$2,500,000,000
19	(B) Outlays, \$ 1,400,000,000. (A) New budget authority, Fiscal year 2014: \$ 2,600,000,000. (b) outlays, \$ 1,800,000,000. (5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):
20	Fiscal year 2014: \$ 2,600,000,000
21	(5) Natural Resources and Environment (300):
22	Fiscal year 2004:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$ <i>32,300,080,000</i>
25	(B) Outlays, \$_30,500,000,000

1	Fiscal year	2005:		
2	(A)	New	budget	authority,
3	\$ 33,600,0	000,000		
4	(B) Or	utlays, \$_	32,300,000	,000.
5	Fiscal year	2006:	-	
6	(A)	New	budget	authority,
7	\$ 34,400,0	200,000	•	
8	(B) O	utlays, \$ <u>.</u> 2	34,300,000,	,000.
9	Fiscal year	2007:		
10	(A)	New	budget	authority,
11	\$35,400,0	000,000	•	
12	(B) O	utlays, \$_	35,300,000,	000.
13	Fiscal year	2008:		
14	(A)	New	budget	authority,
15	\$ 34,300,0	000,000		
16	(B) O	utlays, \$_	36,000,000	<u>,000</u> .
17	Fiscal year	2009:		
18	(A)	New	budget	authority,
19	\$37,800,0	900,000	•	
20	(B) O	utlays, \$_	37,400,00	0,000.
21	Fiscal year	2010:		
22	(A)	New	budget	authority,
23	\$ 38,600,0	000,000		
24	(B) O	utlays, \$_	37 <u>,900,000,</u>	<i>000</i>
25	Fiscal year	2011:		

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 39,500,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>38,700,000,000</u> .
4	Fiscal year 2012:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ <u>40,400,000,000</u> .
7	(B) Outlays, \$ 39,500,000,000.
8	Fiscal year 2013:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ 41,300,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$ 40,400,000,000.
12	Fiscal year 2014:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ <u>42,400,000,000</u> .
15	(B) Outlays, \$ 41, 400,000,000.
16	(6) Agriculture (350):
17	Fiscal year 2004:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ <u>20,200,000,000</u> .
20	(B) Outlays, \$\(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda}\)\(\frac
21	Fiscal year 2005:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ <u>21,700,000,000</u> .
24	(B) Outlays, \$\frac{\mathcal{U}_{1}\partial 000_{1}\partial 00_{1}\partial 000_{2}\tag{000}_{2}.
25	Fiscal year 2006:

1	(A) New budget	authority,
2	\$ 24,100,000,000.	
3	(B) Outlays, \$ 22,900,0	000,000
4	Fiscal year 2007:	
5	(A) New budget	authority,
6	\$25,100,000,000	
7	(B) Outlays, \$ 23,00,0	00,000.
8	Fiscal year 2008:	
9	(A) New budget	authority,
10	\$25,100,000,000.	
11	(B) Outlays, \$ 24,000,0	000,000
12	Fiscal year 2009:	
13	(A) New budget	authority,
14	\$ 26,200,000,000.	
15	(B) Outlays, \$_25,200,0	000,000
16	Fiscal year 2010:	
17	(A) New budget	authority,
18	\$ 24,400,000,000.	
19	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>25,500,0</u>	000,000
20	Fiscal year 2011:	
21	(A) New budget	authority,
22	\$ 26,400,009,000.	
23	(B) Outlays, \$ 25,600,00	00,000.
24	Fiscal year 2012:	

17

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 24,300,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$ 25,500,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2013:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ 26,300,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>25,500,000,000</u>
8	Fiscal year 2014:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ <u>26,300,000,000</u> .
11	(B) Outlays, \$ 25,500,000,00.
12	(7) Commerce and Housing Credit (370):
13	Fiscal year 2004:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$ <u>11,200,000,000</u> .
16	(B) Outlays, \$ 12,800,000,000.
17	Fiscal year 2005:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ <u>\$,900,000,000</u> .
20	(B) Outlays, \$ 3,700,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2006:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ <u>9,400,000,000</u> .
24	(B) Outlays, \$ 3,700,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2007:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$_10,000,000,000.
3	(B) Outlays, \$ 4,200,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2008:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ 10,300,000,000
7	(B) Outlays, \$_3,500,000,000
8	Fiscal year 2009:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ 10,900,000,000.
11	(B) Outlays, \$_3,800,000,000
12	Fiscal year 2010:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ 11,100,000,000.
15	(B) Outlays, \$_4/200,000,000.
16	Fiscal year 2011:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$ 9,800,000,000.
19	(B) Outlays, \$ 2,900,000,000.
20	Fiscal year 2012:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$ <u>9,900,000,000</u> .
23	(B) Outlays, \$ 3,200,000,000.
24	Fiscal year 2013:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ <u>10,100,000,000</u>
3	(B) Outlays, \$ 3, 100,000,000.
4	Fiscal year 2014:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ 10,200,000,000.
7	(B) Outlays, \$ 3,200,000,000.
8	(8) Transportation (400):
9	Fiscal year 2004:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$69,200,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$ 65,700,000,000
13	Fiscal year 2005:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$ 72,100,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>68,900,000,000</u> .
17	Fiscal year 2006:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ <u>73,500,000,000</u> .
20	(B) Outlays, \$ 71,500,000,000.
21	Fiscal year 2007:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ 76,100,000,000.
24	(B) Outlays, \$ 73,700,000,000.
25	Fiscal year 2008:

1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$ 78,100,00	00,000	·••	
3	(B) Ou	tlays, \$_	75,500,00	0,000.
4	Fiscal year	2009:		
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$ 79,600,	000,000	<u>></u> .	
7	(B) Ou	tlays, \$_	76,800,000	0,000.
8	Fiscal year	2010:	,	•
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$ 79,400,	000,000	2.	
11 .	(B) Ou	ıtlays, \$_	76,600,0	00,000
12	Fiscal year	2011:		·
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$80,300,0	00,000	•	
15	(B) Ou	ıtlays, \$_	78,100,00	0,000.
16	Fiscal year	2012:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	\$ 81,100,0	000,000	≥.	
19	(B) Ou	ıtlays, \$	79,700,0	00,000
20	Fiscal year	2013:		
21	(A)	New	budget	authority,
22	\$ 82,000	000,00	<u>2</u> .	
23	(B) Ou	ıtlays, \$	81,400,00	00,000.
24	Fiscal vear	2014:		

1		(A)	New	budget	authority,
2		\$ 83,000,0	00,00	<u>00</u> .	
3		(B) Out	tlays, S	83,000,0	00,000.
4	(9)	Community	and	Regional	Development
5	(450):				
6		Fiscal year 2	2004:		
7		(A)	New	budget	authority,
8		\$ 16,700,00	0,000	<u> </u>	
9		(B) Out	tlays, §	16,700,0	000,000.
10		Fiscal year 2	2005:		
11		(A)	New	budget	authority,
12		\$/6,000,0	00,00	20.	
13		(B) Out	tlays, §	17,000,00	90,000.
14		Fiscal year 2	2006:		
15		(A)	New	budget	authority,
16		\$15,900,00	0000	<u>o</u> .	
17		(B) Out	lays, \$	16,300,0	00,000.
18		Fiscal year 2	2007:		
19		(A)	New	budget	authority,
20		\$16,200,0	00,00	<u>છ</u> .	
21		(B) Out	lays, \$	16,300,0	00 <u>,000</u> .
22		Fiscal year 2	2008:		
23		(A)	New	budget	authority,
24		\$ 16,400,00	0,000	·····	
25		(B) Out	lavs, §	16,200,0	00,000 .

1	Fiscal year 2009:
2	(A) New budget authority,
3	\$14,800,000_000
4	(B) Outlays, \$16,500,000,000.
5	Fiscal year 2010:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$17,100,000,000.
8	(B) Outlays, \$_16,600,000,000
9	Fiscal year 2011:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$17,500,000,000.
12	(B) Outlays, \$\(\frac{16,700,000,000}{\cdot}\).
13	Fiscal year 2012:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$_17,800,000,000.
16	(B) Outlays, \$ <i>_17,000,000,000</i> .
17	Fiscal year 2013:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ 18,200,000,000.
20	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>/7,400,000,000</u> .
21	Fiscal year 2014:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ <i>18,600,000,000</i> .
24	(B) Outlays \$ 17,700,000,000

1	(10) Education, Training, Employment, and
2	Social Services (500):
3	Fiscal year 2004:
4	(A) New budget authority,
5	\$ 89,400,000,000
6	(B) Outlays, \$\frac{\gamma}{ b}, \frac{400,000,000}{\capace}
7	Fiscal year 2005:
8	(A) New budget authority,
9	\$ 98, 500,000,000
10	(B) Outlays, \$90,900,000
11	Fiscal year 2006:
12	(A) New budget authority,
13	\$ <u>95,700,000,00</u> 0
14	(B) Outlays, \$ 95,500,000,000
15	Fiscal year 2007:
16	(A) New budget authority,
17	\$96,300,000,000.
18	(B) Outlays, \$ 95, 600,000,000.
19	Fiscal year 2008:
20	(A) New budget authority,
21	\$ 96,900,000,000.
22	(B) Outlays, \$ 95,800,000,000.
23	Fiscal year 2009:
24	(A) New budget authority,
25	\$ 98, 400, 000,000.

1	(B) Outlays, \$97, 100,000,000
2	Fiscal year 2010:
3	(A) New budget authority,
4	\$99,800,000,000
5	(B) Outlays, \$98, 700,000,000.
6	Fiscal year 2011:
7	(A) New budget authority,
8	\$ 101,900,000,000.
9	(B) Outlays, \$100,700,000,000.
10	Fiscal year 2012:
11	(A) New budget authority,
12	\$ 103, 900,000,000.
13	(B) Outlays, \$102,800,000,000.
14	Fiscal year 2013:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	\$106,000,000,000.
17	(B) Outlays, \$104,900,000,000.
18	Fiscal year 2014:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$ 108,200,000,000.
21	(B) Outlays, \$107,000,000,000.
22	(11) Health (550):
23	Fiscal year 2004:
24	(A) New budget authority,
25	\$241,800,000,000

1	(B) Outlays, \$ 239, 600,000,000
2	Fiscal year 2005:
3	(A) New budget authority,
4	\$254,600,000,000
5	(B) Outlays, \$250,900,000,000.
6	Fiscal year 2006:
7	(A) New budget authority,
8	\$ 259,600,000,000
9	(B) Outlays, \$ 259,700,000,00
10	Fiscal year 2007:
11	(A) New budget authority,
12	\$ 274, 300,000,000.
13	(B) Outlays, \$273,800,000,000.
14	Fiscal year 2008:
15	(A) New budget authority,
16	\$ 294,400,000,000
17	(B) Outlays, \$293,600,000,000
18	Fiscal year 2009:
19	(A) New budget authority,
20	\$ <u>316,900,000,000</u> .
21	(B) Outlays, \$313,900,000,000
22	Fiscal year 2010:
23	(A) New budget authority,
24	\$ 337,100,000,000
25	(B) Outlays, \$ 336, 200, 000, 000

, retermine	Fiscal year 2011:	
2	(A) New budget	authority,
3	\$36 0 ,900,000,000	
4	(B) Outlays, \$ 359,800,0	00,000
5	Fiscal year 2012:	
6	(A) New budget	authority,
7	\$ 387,000,000,000	
8	(B) Outlays, \$386,000,1	000,000
9	Fiscal year 2013:	
10	(A) New budget	authority,
parameter commence	\$415,700,000,000	
12	(B) Outlays, \$414,400,00	0,000
13	Fiscal year 2014:	
14	(A) New budget	authority,
5	\$ 446, 800,000,000	
	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>445,500,6</u>	000,000
7	(12) Medicare (570):	
* 8	Fiscal year 2004:	
19	(A) New budget	authority,
20	\$_269,600,000,000	
21	(B) Outlays, \$268,800,	000,000
22	Fiscal year 2005:	
23	(A) New budget	authority,
24	\$ 288,200,000,000	
25	(B) Outlays \$289 200.	000.000

1	Fiscal year 2006:
2	(A) New budget authority,
3	\$323,000,000,000
4	(B) Outlays, \$322,600,000,000
5	Fiscal year 2007:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$ 362,800,000,000
8	(B) Outlays, \$363, 100, 000, 000
9	Fiscal year 2008:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$ 388, 100,000,000
12	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>388, (00, 000, 0</u> 00
13	Fiscal year 2009:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$ <u>414,700,000,000</u>
16	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>414, 300,000,0</u> 00
17	Fiscal year 2010:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$442,900,000,000
20	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>443, 200,000,000</u>
21	Fiscal year 2011:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$479,600,000,000
24	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>479, 500,000,000</u>
25	Fiscal year 2012.

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 505, 500,000,000
3	(B) Outlays, \$505,000,000,000
4	Fiscal year 2013:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ 551,000,000,000
7	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>551,300,000,000</u>
8	Fiscal year 2014:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ <u>596,700,000,000</u>
11	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>596, 700,000,000</u>
12	(13) Income Security (600):
13	Fiscal year 2004:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$ <u>335,800,000,000</u>
16	(B) Outlays, \$342, 600,000,000
17	Fiscal year 2005:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ <u>343,300,000,000</u>
20	(B) Outlays, \$346, 200, 000, 000
21	Fiscal year 2006:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ <u>343,000,000,000</u>
24	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>345,400,000,000</u>
25	Fiscal year 2007:

1	(A) Λ	Jew	budget	authority,
2	\$348,900,0	00,00	QO	
3	(B) Outla	ays, \$_	350,900,	000,000
4	Fiscal year 20	008:		
5	(A) 1	Vew	budget	authority,
6	\$ 363, 200, 0	0,00,0	0 O	
7	(B) Outle	ays, \$_	364, 800,	000,000
8	Fiscal year 20	09:	÷	
9	(A) 1	Vew	budget	authority,
10	\$374,000,0	000,0	200	
11	(B) Outl	ays, \$_	375,100,0	000,000
12	Fiscal year 20	10:		
13	(A) 1	Vew	budget	authority,
14	\$386,000,0	0,000	200	
15	(B) Outli	ays, \$_	386,800,0	00,000
16	Fiscal year 20)11:		
17	(A) 1	Jew	budget	authority,
18	\$403,000, c	00,0	200	
19	(B) Outl	ays, \$_	403,600,0	000,000
20	Fiscal year 20)12:		
21	(A) 1	Vew	budget	authority,
22	\$ <u>393,500,</u> 1	,000 c	000	
23	(B) Outl	ays, \$_	394,000,0	000,000
24	Fiscal year 20)13.		

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$408,100,000,000
3	(B) Outlays, \$ 408,500,000,000
4	Fiscal year 2014:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$419,100,000,000
7	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>419,800,000,000</u>
8	(14) Social Security (650):
9	Fiscal year 2004:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$13,400,000,000
12	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>13,400,000,000</u>
13	Fiscal year 2005:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$ 15,100,000,000
16	(B) Outlays, \$15,100,000,000
17	Fiscal year 2006:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ 16,600,000,000
20	(B) Outlays, \$ 16,600,000,000
21	Fiscal year 2007:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ 18,000,000,000
24	(B) Outlays, \$18,000,000,000
25	Fiscal year 2008.

1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$ 20,000	,000,	000	
3.	(B) O	utlays, \$	20,000,0	000,000
4	Fiscal year	2009:		
5	(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$ 22,000	0,000,0	D D	
7	(B) O	utlays, \$	22,000,1	000,000
8	Fiscal year	2010:	,	
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$ 24,300	,000,0	00	
11	(B) O	utlays, \$	24,300,0	000,000
12	Fiscal year	2011:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$ 28, 100,	000,00	20	
15	(B) O	utlays, \$_	28,100,0	00,000
16	Fiscal year	2012:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	\$31,100,	000,00	20	
19	(B) O	utlays, \$_	31,100,00	00,000
20	Fiscal year	2013:		
21	(A)	New	budget	authority,
22	\$33,900	,000,0	DO .	
23	(B) O	utlays, \$	33,900,0	00,000
24	Fiscal year	2014:		

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 36,800,000,000
3	(B) Outlays, \$36,800,000,000
4	(15) Veterans Benefits and Services (700):
5	Fiscal year 2004:
6	(A) New budget authority,
7	\$ 61,500,000,000
8	(B) Outlays, \$ 60,100,000,000
9	Fiscal year 2005:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	\$\frac{7}{2},100,000,000
12	(B) Outlays, \$70,600,000,000.
13	Fiscal year 2006:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$ 70,000,000,00 0
16	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>69,300,000,000</u>
17	Fiscal year 2007:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ <u>68,200,000,000</u>
20	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>67,700,000,000</u>
21	Fiscal year 2008:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ <u>71,300,000,000</u>
24	(B) Outlays, \$\frac{\pi}{1000,000,000}
25	Figaal waar 2009.

1	(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$ 72,70	0,000	000	•
3	(B) O	utlays, \$_	72,300,	000,000
4	Fiscal year	2010:		
5			_	authority,
6	\$ 74,200	,000,0	∞	
7	(B) O	utlays, \$_	73,800,0	00,000
8	Fiscal year	2011:	ž.	
9	(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$ 78,600	,000,0	00	
11	(B) O	utlays, \$_	78,100,0	00,000
12	Fiscal year	2012:		
13	(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$ 75,600	1,000,0	DOD	
15	(B) O	utlays, \$	75,200,0	000,000
16	Fiscal year	2013:		
17	(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	\$ 80,200	0,000,1	000	
19	(B) O	utlays, \$	79,800,0	000,000
20	Fiscal year	2014:		
21	(A)	New	budget	authority,
22	\$ 82,30	0,000,0	000	
23	(B) O	utlays, \$	81,800,00	00,000
24	(16) Administra	ation of J	fustice (750):
25	Fiscal year	2004:		

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 41, 200, 000, 000
3	(B) Outlays, \$39,600,000,000
4	Fiscal year 2005:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ <u>42,500,000,0</u> 00
7	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>41,200,000,000</u>
8	Fiscal year 2006:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$40,200,000,000
11	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>40,500,000,000</u>
12	Fiscal year 2007:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ <u>41,100,000,000</u>
15	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>41,200,000,000</u>
16	Fiscal year 2008:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$ 42,200,000,000
19	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>41,900,000,000</u>
20	Fiscal year 2009:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$ <u>43,400,000,000</u>
23	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>43,000,000,000</u>
24	Fiscal year 2010:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 44, 600,000,000
3	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>44,200,000,0</u> 00
4	Fiscal year 2011:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ 45,800,000,000
7	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>45,400,000,000</u>
8	Fiscal year 2012:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ <u>47,100,000,000</u>
11	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>46,700,000,000</u>
12	Fiscal year 2013:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ <u>48,400,000,000</u>
15	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>48,000,000,000</u>
16	Fiscal year 2014:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$ <u>49,800,000,000</u>
19	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>49,300,000,000</u>
20	(17) General Government (800):
21	Fiscal year 2004:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$24,000,000,000
24	(B) Outlays, \$24,700,000,000
25	Fiscal year 2005:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 19,400,000,000
3	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>19,200,000,0</u> 00
4	Fiscal year 2006:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ 19,900,000,000
7	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>19,600,000,00</u> 0
8	Fiscal year 2007:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ 20,500,000,000
11	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>20,200,000,0</u> 00
12	Fiscal year 2008:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ 20,700,000,000
15	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>20,400,000,000</u>
16	Fiscal year 2009:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$ 21,400,000,000
19	(B) Outlays, \$ 20,900,000,000
20	Fiscal year 2010:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$ 22,100,000,000
23	(B) Outlays, \$ 21,600,000,000
24	Fiscal year 2011:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 22,900,000,000
3	(B) Outlays, \$22, 300,000,000
4	Fiscal year 2012:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$23,600,000,000
7	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>23,300,000,000</u>
8	Fiscal year 2013:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ <u>24,400,000,0</u> 00
11	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>23, 900, 000, 000</u>
12	Fiscal year 2014:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ 25,200,000,000
15	(B) Outlays, \$ 24,600,000,000
16	(18) Interest (900):
17	Fiscal year 2004:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ 240,500,000,000
20	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>240,500,000,000</u>
21	Fiscal year 2005:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ 270, 800, 000,000
24	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>270,800,000,000</u>
25	Fiscal year 2006:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ 318,900,000,000
3	(B) Outlays, \$318, 900,000,000
4	Fiscal year 2007:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ 364,000,000,000
7	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>364,000,000,000</u>
8	Fiscal year 2008:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$397,600,000,000
11	(B) Outlays, \$397,600,000,000
12	Fiscal year 2009:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$ <u>426,000,000,000</u>
15	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>426,000,000,000</u>
16	Fiscal year 2010:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$ <u>452,200,000,</u> 000
19	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>452,200,000,000</u>
20	Fiscal year 2011:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	\$ <u>474,700,000,000</u>
23	(B) Outlays, \$ 474, 700, 000, 000
24	Fiscal year 2012:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ <u>493,400,000,</u> 000
3	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>493,400,000,000</u>
4	Fiscal year 2013:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ 507,400,000,000
7	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>507,400,000,</u> 000
8	Fiscal year 2014:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	\$ 522,400,000,000
11	(B) Outlays, \$ 522,400,000,000
12	(19) Allowances (920):
13	Fiscal year 2004:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	\$
16	(B) Outlays, \$
17	Fiscal year 2005:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ 50,000,000,000
20	(B) Outlays, \$24,900,000,000
21	Fiscal year 2006:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ <u> </u>
24	(B) Outlays, \$ 18,600,000,000
25	Fiscal year 2007:

1 .		(A)	New	budget	authority,
2	\$	Ø			
3		(B) O	utlays, \$	5, 100, 001	0,000
4	Fisc	eal year			
5		(A)	New	budget	authority,
6	\$	0			
7		(B) O	utlays, \$_	1,000,0	000,000
8	Fisc	eal year	2009:	÷	
9		(A)	New	budget	authority,
10	\$	B		·•	
11		(B) O	utlays, \$_	300,000	000,0
12	Fise	cal year	2010:		
13		(A)	New	budget	authority,
14	\$	{	}	-•	
15		(B) O	utlays, \$_	<i>D</i>	-
16	Fise	cal year	2011:		
17		(A)	New	budget	authority,
18	\$	Ð	<u> </u>	_• <u>.</u>	
19		(B) O	utlays, $_{-}$	D	*
.20	Fis	cal year	2012:		
21		(A)	New	budget	authority,
22	\$	L.	Γ	-	
23		(B) O	utlays, \$_		*
24	Fis	cal year	2013:		

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$
3	(B) Outlays, \$
4	Fiscal year 2014:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$
7	(B) Outlays, \$
8	(20) Undistributed Offsetting Receipts (950):
9	Fiscal year 2004:
10	(A) New budget authority,
11	<u>\$ - 47,200,000,000</u>
12	(B) Outlays, \$ - 47, 200, 000, 000
13	Fiscal year 2005:
14	(A) New budget authority,
15	<u>\$ - 52,500,00</u> 0,000
16	(B) Outlays, $\$ - 52,500,000,000$
17	Fiscal year 2006:
18	(A) New budget authority,
19	\$ <u>-59,800,000,</u> 000
20	(B) Outlays, \$ - 59, 800,000, 000
21	Fiscal year 2007:
22	(A) New budget authority,
23	\$ <u>-61,800,000,000</u>
24	(B) Outlays, \$ -61, 800,000,000
25	Fiscal year 2008:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ -64,500,00D,00D
3	(B) Outlays, \$ - 64,500,000,000
4	Fiscal year 2009:
5	(A) New budget authority,
6	\$ <u>-61,200,00</u> 0,000
7	(B) Outlays, $\$ - 61,200,000$, 000
8	Fiscal year 2010:
9	(A) New budget authority,
10	<u>\$ -63,600,00</u> 0,000
11	(B) Outlays, \$ - 63,600,000,000
12	Fiscal year 2011:
13	(A) New budget authority,
14	\$-66,100,000,000
15	(B) Outlays, \$ - 66, 100,000,000
16	Fiscal year 2012:
17	(A) New budget authority,
18	\$ -68,800,000,000
19	(B) Outlays, \$ - 68, 800,000,000
20	Fiscal year 2013:
21	(A) New budget authority,
22	<u>\$ -71,400,000,</u> 000
23	(B) Outlays, \$ - 71,400,000,000
24	Fiscal year 2014:

1	(A) New budget authority,
2	\$ <u>-73,800,000,00</u> 0
3	(B) Outlays, \$ <u>-73,800,000,000</u>
4	TITLE II—RECONCILIATION AND
5	REPORT SUBMISSIONS
6	SEC. 201. SUBMISSIONS BY THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON
7	WAYS AND MEANS FOR RESPONSIBLE TAX
8	RELIEF.
9	(a) Submission.—Not later than October 1, 2004,
10	the House Committee on Ways and Means shall report
11	a reconciliation bill to the House adjusting revenues in
12	such amounts necessary to meet the revenue targets con-
13	tained in section 2 of this resolution.
14	(b) Policy Assumptions.—It is the policy of this
15	budget resolution to balance deficit reduction with middle-
16	income tax relief. Such tax policies shall include but not
17	be limited to provisions that—
18	(1) extend the child tax credit;
19	(2) extend marriage penalty relief;
20	(C) extend the 10 percent individual tax brack-
21	et;
22	(4) provide relief from the alternative minimum
23	tax for middle-income taxpayers;



1	(5) eliminate estate taxes on all but the very
2	largest estates by reforming and substantially in-
3	creasing the unified credit;
4	(6) extend the Research and Experimentation
5	Tax Credit and other expiring tax provisions;
6	(7) accelerate refundability of the child tax
7	credit to fifteen percent in 2004 and include combat
8	pay in determining refundability in 2004 and all
9	years thereafter;
10	(8) preserve American manufacturing jobs con-
11	sistent with the objectives delineated in H.R. 3827,
12	the Job Protection Act of 2004;
13	(9) close corporate tax avoidance devices and
14	eliminate expatriation schemes for individuals and
15	corporations such as, but not limited to, those provi-
16	sions included in the President's budget;
17	(10) reduce the tax cuts resulting from provi-
18	sions contained in 2001 and 2003 tax legislation
19	passed by Congress for taxpayers with annual ad-
20	justed gross income (AGI) over \$500,000; and
21	(11) make new or extended tax cuts subject to
22	PAYGO offset requirements.
23	(c) FLEXIBILITY FOR THE COMMITTEE ON WAYS
24	AND MEANS.—If the reconciliation bill reported by the
25	Committee on Ways and Means alters the Internal Rev-



1	enue Code of 1900 in ways that are scored by the John
2	Committee on Taxation as outlay changes, as through leg-
3	islation affecting refundable tax credits, the bill shall be
4	considered to meet the revenue requirements of the rec
5	onciliation directive if the net cost of the revenue and out
6	lay changes does not exceed the revenue amount indicated
7	for that committee in subsection (a). Upon the reporting
8	of such legislation, the chairman of the House Committee
9	on the Budget shall adjust the budget aggregates in this
10	resolution and allocations made under this resolution ac
11	cordingly.
12	SEC. 202. SUBMISSION PROVIDING FOR STRENGTHENED
13	MEDICARE PRESCRIPTION DRUG BENEFIT.
	medicare prescription drug benefit. (a) In General.—Not later than October 1, 2004
13 14 15	
14 15	(a) In General.—Not later than October 1, 2004
14 15 16	(a) In General.—Not later than October 1, 2004 the House committees named in subsection (b) shall sub-
14 15 16 17	(a) In General.—Not later than October 1, 2004 the House committees named in subsection (b) shall submit their recommendations to the House Committee or
14	(a) In General.—Not later than October 1, 2004 the House committees named in subsection (b) shall submit their recommendations to the House Committee on the Budget. After receiving those recommendations, the
14 15 16 17	(a) In General.—Not later than October 1, 2004 the House committees named in subsection (b) shall submit their recommendations to the House Committee on the Budget. After receiving those recommendations, the House Committee on the Budget shall report to the House
14 15 16 17 18	(a) In General.—Not later than October 1, 2004 the House committees named in subsection (b) shall submit their recommendations to the House Committee on the Budget. After receiving those recommendations, the House Committee on the Budget shall report to the House a bill carrying out all such recommendations without any
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(a) In General.—Not later than October 1, 2004 the House committees named in subsection (b) shall submit their recommendations to the House Committee on the Budget. After receiving those recommendations, the House Committee on the Budget shall report to the House a bill carrying out all such recommendations without any substantive revision.

changes in law within its jurisdiction to lower Medi-

care subsidies to private plans under Medicare Ad-



24

Ţ	vantage and to use such savings to increase the
2	value of the Medicare prescription drug benefit.
3	(2) COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE.—
4	The House Committee on Energy and Commerce
5	shall report changes in law within its jurisdiction to
6	lower Medicare subsidies to private plans under
7	Medicare Advantage and to use such savings to in-
8	crease the value of the Medicare prescription drug
9	benefit.
10	(c) Special Rule.—In the House, notwithstanding
11	subsections (a) and (b), no bill under this section may be
12	considered unless the net effect of the legislation sub-
13	mitted by committees under such subparagraphs does not
14	increase the aggregate deficit. The chairman of the Com-
15	mittee on the Budget may make the appropriate adjust-
16	ments in allocations and aggregates to the extent such
17	measure is deficit neutral in fiscal year 2005, for the pe-
18	riod of fiscal years 2005 through 2009, and for the period
19	of fiscal years 2005 through 2014.



1	SEC. 203. ELIMINATING THE SOCIAL SECURITY OFFSET TO
2	THE MILITARY SURVIVOR BENEFIT PLAN,
3	SUBMISSION OF REPORT ON DEFENSE SAV-
4	INGS, AND OTHER DEFENSE-RELATED MAT-
5	TERS.
6	(a) Submission.—In the House, not later than May
7	15, 2004, the Committee on Armed Services shall submit
8	to the Committee on the Budget its findings that identify
9	\$2,000,000,000 in annual discretionary savings from (1)
10	activities that are determined to be of a low priority to
11	the successful execution of current military operations; or
12	(2) activities that are determined to be wasteful or unnec-
13	essary to national defense. These should be continuing
14	savings, of a permanent nature, and sufficient to offset
15	the recurring personnel costs in (b).
16	(b) Policy Assumptions.—Recognizing the impor-
17	tance of the families of uniformed military personnel who
18	have served and are currently serving our Nation, the
19	Committee on the Budget instructs the Armed Services
20	Committee to use the funds provided in the reconciliation
21	directive for the purposes of eliminating the Social Secu-
22	rity offset to the Military Survivor Benefits Program and
23	raising the existing cap on the Military Housing Privatiza-
24	tion Initiative. The funds identified in the first paragraph
25	are to ensure that these programs will not further increase
26	the deficit and are the basis upon which the Committee



- 1 on the Budget issues the reconciliation directive to the
- 2 Armed Services Committee in section 204.
- 3 SEC. 204. COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES.
- 4 In the House, not later than July 15, 2004, the
- 5 Armed Services Committee shall report changes in laws
- 6 within its jurisdiction sufficient to increase budget author-
- 7 ity by not more than \$2,000,000,000 and outlays by not
- 8 more than \$237,000,000 for fiscal year 2005 and by not
- 9 more than \$10,452,000,000 for budget authority and
- 10 \$7,107,000,000 for outlays for the period of fiscal years
- 11 2005 through 2009. The House Armed Services Com-
- 12 mittee is instructed to use this allocation to eliminate the
- 13 Social Security offset to the Military Survivor Benefit Pro-
- 14 gram and increase the cap on the Military Housing Privat-
- 15 ization Initiative.

16 TITLE III—RESERVE FUNDS AND

17 **CONTINGENCY PROCEDURE**

18 Subtitle A—Reserve Funds

- 19 SEC. 301. RESERVE FUND FOR THE FAMILY OPPORTUNITY
- .20 ACT.
- In the House, if the Committee on Energy and Com-
- 22 merce reports legislation, or if an amendment thereto is
- 23 offered or a conference report thereon is submitted, that
- 24 provides Medicaid coverage for children with special needs
- 25 (the Family Opportunity Act), the chairman of the Com-



22 mysels of the Control of the Cont

- 1 mittee on the Budget may make the appropriate adjust-
- 2 ments in allocations and aggregates of new budget author-
- 3 ity (and the outlays resulting therefrom) in this resolution
- 4 by the amount provided by that measure for that purpose,
- 5 but not to exceed \$53,000,000 in new budget authority
- 6 and \$52,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 2005, and
- 7 \$7,952,000,000 in new budget authority and
- 8 \$7,626,000,000 in outlays for the period of fiscal years
- 9 2005 through 2014.
- 10 SEC. 302. RESERVE FUND FOR THE STATE CHILDREN'S
- 11 HEALTH INSURANCE PROGRAM.
- In the House, if the Committee on Energy and Com-
- 13 merce reports legislation, or if an amendment thereto is
- 14 offered or a conference report thereon is submitted, that
- 15 reallocates and maintains expiring State Children's Health
- 16 Insurance Program funds within such program rather
- 17 than allowing such funds to revert to the Treasury, the
- 18 chairman of the Committee on the Budget may make the
- 19 appropriate adjustments in allocations and aggregates of
- 20 new budget authority (and the outlays resulting there-
- 21 from) in this resolution by the amount provided by that
- 22 measure for that purpose, but not to exceed
- 23 \$1,115,000,000 in new budget authority and
- 24 \$100,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year 2005, and
- 25 \$1,115,000,000 in new budget authority and

- 1 \$1,115,000,000 in outlays for the period of fiscal years
- 2 2005 through 2014.
- 3 SEC. 303. RESERVE FUND FOR TRANSITIONAL MEDICAID
- 4 ASSISTANCE.
- 5 In the House, if legislation is reported, or if an
- 6 amendment thereto is offered or a conference report there-
- 7 on is submitted, that extends transitional Medicaid assist-
- 8 ance, the chairman of the Committee on the Budget may
- 9 make the appropriate adjustments in allocations and ag-
- 10 gregates of new budget authority (and the outlays result-
- 11 ing therefrom) in this resolution by the amount provided
- 12 by that measure for that purpose, but not to exceed
- 13 \$23,000,000 in new budget authority and \$23,000,000 in
- 14 outlays for fiscal year 2004, \$427,000,000 in new budget
- 15 authority and \$427,000,000 in outlays for fiscal year
- 16 2005, and \$3,471,000,000 in new budget authority and
- 17 \$3,471,000,000 in outlays for the period of fiscal years
- 18 2005 through 2014.
- 19 SEC. 304. DEFICIT-NEUTRAL RESERVE FUND FOR HEALTH
- 20 INSURANCE FOR THE UNINSURED.
- In the House, if legislation is reported, or if an
- 22 amendment thereto is offered or a conference report there-
- 23 on is submitted, that provides affordable, comprehensive
- 24 health insurance to the uninsured and builds upon and
- 25 strengthens public and private coverage, and prevents the



- 1 erosion of existing coverage under Medicaid, which could
- 2 include temporary extension of state fiscal relief by in-
- 3 creasing the Medicaid match rate, the chairman of the
- 4 Committee on the Budget may make the appropriate ad-
- 5 justments in allocations and aggregates to the extent such
- 6 measure is deficit neutral (whether by changes in revenues
- 7 or direct spending) in fiscal year 2005 and for the period
- 8 of fiscal years 2005 through 2009.

9 Subtitle B—Contingency Procedure

- 10 SEC. 311. CONTINGENCY PROCEDURE FOR SURFACE
- 11 TRANSPORTATION.
- 12 (a) IN GENERAL.—If the Committee on Transpor-
- 13 tation and Infrastructure of the House reports legislation,
- 14 or if an amendment thereto is offered or a conference re-
- 15 port thereon is submitted, that provides new budget au-
- 16 thority for the budget accounts or portions thereof in the
- 17 highway and transit categories as defined in sections
- 18 250(c)(4)(B) and (C) of the Balanced Budget and Emer-
- 19 gency Deficit Control Act of 1985 in excess of the fol-
- 20 lowing amounts:
- 21 (1) for fiscal year 2004: \$41,569,000,000,
- 22 (2) for fiscal year 2005: \$42,657,000,000,
- 23 (3) for fiscal year 2006: \$43,635,000,000,
- 24 (4) for fiscal year 2007: \$45,709,000,000,
- 25 (5) for fiscal year 2008: \$46,945,000,000, or



- 1 (6) for fiscal year 2009: \$47,732,000,000,
- 2 the chairman of the Committee on the Budget may adjust
- 3 the appropriate budget aggregates and increase the alloca-
- 4 tion of new budget authority to such committee for fiscal
- 5 year 2004, for fiscal year 2005, and for the period of fiscal
- 6 years 2005 through 2009 to the extent such excess is off-
- 7 set by a reduction in mandatory outlays from the Highway
- 8 Trust Fund or an increase in receipts appropriated to
- 9 such fund for the applicable fiscal year caused by such
- 10 legislation or any previously enacted legislation.
- 11 (b) Adjustment for Outlays.—For fiscal year
- 12 2004 or 2005, in the House, if a bill or joint resolution
- 13 is reported, or if an amendment thereto is offered or a
- 14 conference report thereon is submitted, that changes obli-
- 15 gation limitations such that the total limitations are in ex-
- 16 cess of \$40,116,000,000 for fiscal year 2004 or
- 17 \$41,204,000,000 for fiscal year 2005 for programs,
- 18 projects, and activities within the highway and transit cat-
- 19 egories as defined in sections 250(c)(4)(B) and (C) of the
- 20 Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of
- 21 1985, and if legislation has been enacted that satisfies the
- 22 conditions set forth in subsection (a) for such fiscal year,
- 23 the chairman of the Committee on the Budget may in-
- 24 crease the allocation of outlays and appropriate aggregates
- 25 for such fiscal year for the committee reporting such



1	measure by the amount of outlays that corresponds to
2	such excess obligation limitations, but not to exceed the
3	amount of such excess that was offset pursuant to sub-
4	section (a).
5	TITLE IV—BUDGET
6	ENFORCEMENT
7	SEC. 401. PAY-AS-YOU-GO POINT OF ORDER IN THE HOUSE
8	(a) Point of Order.—It shall not be in order in
9	the House to consider any direct spending or revenue leg-
10	islation that would increase the budget deficit or reduce
11	the budget surplus for any of the following periods:
12	(1) The first year covered by the most recently
13	adopted concurrent resolution on the budget.
14	(2) The period of the first 5 fiscal years covered
15	by the most recently adopted concurrent resolution
16	on the budget.
17	(3) The period of the first 10 fiscal years cov-
18	ered in the most recently adopted concurrent resolu-
19	tion on the budget.
20	(b) DIRECT-SPENDING LEGISLATION.—
21	(1) Definition.—For purposes of this section
22	and except as provided in paragraph (2), the term
23	"direct-spending legislation" means any bill, joint
24	resolution, amendment, motion, or conference report

that affects direct spending as that term is defined



1	by, and interpreted for purposes of, the Daraneed
2	Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985.
3	(2) Exclusion.—For purposes of this section,
4	the terms "direct-spending legislation" and "revenue
5	legislation" do not include—
6	(A) any concurrent resolution on the budg-
7	et; or
8	(B) any provision of legislation that affects
9	the full funding of, and continuation of, the de-
10	posit insurance guarantee commitment in effect
11	on the date of enactment of the Budget En-
12	forcement Act of 1990.
13	(c) DETERMINATION OF BUDGET LEVELS.—For pur-
14	poses of this section, the levels of new budget authority,
15	outlays, and revenues for a fiscal year shall be determined
16	on the basis of estimates made by the Committee on the
17	Budget of the House.
18	TITLE V—SENSE OF THE HOUSE
19	SEC. 501. SENSE OF THE HOUSE REGARDING POLICIES AF-
20	FECTING JOBLESS WORKERS AND JOB CRE-
21	ATION.
22	(a) FINDINGS.—The House finds that—
23	(1) despite the enactment in 2001 and 2003 of
24	significant tax cuts directed toward the Nation's
25	wealthiest individuals, the economy of the United



1	States has lost nearly three million private-sector
2	jobs since President Bush took office in January
3	2001;
4	(2) the 2001 and 2003 tax cuts contributed di-
5	rectly to an increase in current and projected future
6	deficits that has reduced national saving and in-
7	creased net indebtedness to other countries, and is
8	likely to raise interest rates over time, which will
9	make it more expensive for firms to invest, grow,
10	and create jobs;
11	(3) during the past six months, after almost
12	three years of consistent job losses, the economy has
13	created only about 61,000 jobs per month on aver-
14	age, which is not half the rate of job creation re-
15	quired to keep pace with average growth in the
16	working-age population;
17	(4) small businesses are the major source of job
18	creation in the United States, accounting for at least
19	two thirds of net new jobs created over the past dec-
20	ade, and the Small Business Administration 7(a)
21	general business guaranteed loan program accounts
22	for 40 to 50 percent of all long-term loans to United
23	States small businesses, serving small start-ups and
24	other borrowers who are unable to obtain conven-

tional financing on affordable terms;



1	(5) the President's budget for 2005 cuts fund-
2	ing for Small Business Administration business
3	loans and technical assistance programs, and im-
4	poses a sharp increase in 7(a) loan fees that will cre-
5	ate cost barriers for borrowers seeking to start or
6	expand small businesses and create jobs; and
7	(6) the President's budget cuts \$151 million
8	from adult training and dislocated worker programs,
9	programs that help laid-off workers adapt to a con-
10	stantly evolving job market.
11	(b) SENSE OF THE HOUSE.—It is the sense of the
12	House that—
13	(1) this resolution supports funding for an ex-
14	tension through June 2004 of the Temporary Ex-
15	tended Unemployment Compensation program to
16	take account of the continuing minimal rate of job
17	growth in the United States economy; and
18	(2) this resolution supports continuation of the
19	current discounted fee structure for Small Business
20	Administration 7(a) general business guaranteed
21	loans; provides \$100 million in subsidy budget au-
22	thority for 2005 to support a 7(a) loan volume of at
23	least \$10 billion at existing guaranty levels; and pro-
24	vides funding to maintain the Small Business Ad-



1	ministration's Microloan 2004 loan volume of \$21
2	million; and
3	(3) this resolution rejects the President's pro-
4	posal to cut \$151 million in adult training and dis-
5	located worker programs in 2005.
6	SEC. 502. SENSE OF THE HOUSE REGARDING FUNDING FOR
7	THE MANUFACTURING EXTENSION PARTNER-
8	SHIP.
9	(a) FINDINGS.—The House finds that—
10	(1) the Manufacturing Extension Partnership,
11	which is jointly funded by Federal and State Gov-
12	ernments and private entities, improves small manu-
13	facturers' competitiveness, creates jobs, increases
14	economic activity, and generates a \$4-to-\$1 return
15	on investment to the Treasury by aiding small busi-
16	nesses traditionally underserved by the business con-
17	sulting market;
18	(2) in a January 2004 Department of Com-
19	merce report titled Manufacturing In America: A
20	Comprehensive Strategy to Address the Challenges
21	to U.S. Manufacturers, the Administration stated
22	that "the Manufacturing Extension Partnership
23	(MEP) has provided many small U.S. manufacturers
24	with useful business services to become more com-



1	petitive and productive," a conclusion in which the
2	Congress concurs;
3	(3) the Congress appropriated \$106 million for
4	the Manufacturing Extension Partnership for 2003
5	but only \$39 million for 2004, and the President's
6	2005 budget maintains this drastically reduced fund-
7	ing level, undermining the ability of the Manufac-
8	turing Extension Partnership to fulfill its mission of
9	helping small businesses to adopt advanced manu-
10	facturing technologies and practices that will help
11	them compete in a global market; and
12	(4) Federal funding for the Manufacturing Ex-
13	tension Partnership should be restored to its pre-
14	2004 level, adjusted for inflation.
15	(b) Sense of the House.—It is the sense of the
16	House that—
17	(1) this resolution provides a total of \$110 mil-
18	lion for the Manufacturing Extension Partnership
19	for 2005, \$71 million more than the President's re-
20	quest, and supports adequate funding throughout
21	the period covered by this resolution; and
22	(2) this funding restores the viability of the
23	Manufacturing Extension Partnership and provides
24	the necessary resources for the Manufacturing Ex-
25	tension Partnership to continue helping small manu-



1	facturers reach their optimal performance and create
2	jobs.
3	SEC. 503. SENSE OF THE HOUSE ON EXTENSION OF THE
4	PAY-AS-YOU-GO RULE OF 1997.
5	(a) FINDINGS.—The House finds that—
6	(1) the "Pay-As-You-Go" ("PAYGO") rule en-
7	acted as part of the Budget Enforcement Act of
8	1990 required that any increase in benefits funded
9	by mandatory spending be fully offset by an equal
10	increase in tax revenues or by a commensurate re-
11	duction in existing benefits. The PAYGO rule also
12	required that any tax cut be deficit-neutral, offset by
13	an increase elsewhere in the tax code or by a reduc-
14	tion in benefits funded by mandatory spending;
15	(2) the PAYGO rule played a critical role in
16	turning chronic deficits into record surpluses during
17	the 1990s;
18	(3) the surplus of \$5.6 trillion projected for
19	2002 through 2011 is now projected to be a deficit
20	of \$2.9 trillion;
21	(4) the PAYGO rule proved effective in the past
22	and is even more necessary now to rid the budget of
23	colossal deficits;
24	(5) the Chairman of the Federal Reserve testi-
25	fied before the Budget Committee and supported re-



1	newal of the PAYGO in its original form, applicable
2	to both mandatory spending increases and to tax
3	cuts, and to new tax reduction as well as renewal of
4	expiring tax reduction provisions.
5	(b) Sense of the House.—It is the sense of the
6	House that in order to reduce the deficit, Congress should
7	extend PAYGO in its original form in the Budget Enforce-
8	ment Act of 1990, making the rule apply both to tax de-
9	creases and to mandatory spending increases.
10	SEC. 504. SENSE OF THE HOUSE ON DEFENSE PRIORITIES.
11	It is the sense of the House that—
12	(1) continuing the TRICARE for Reservists is
13	a high priority which should not have been omitted
14	from the President's budget request;
15	(2) continuing targeted pay increases for en-
16	listed personnel for three additional years is also a
17	high priority which should not have been omitted
18	from the President's budget request, because it is
19	consistent with the original proposal of the Depart-
20	ment of Defense and critical to the retention of ex-
21	perienced military personnel;
22	(3) eliminating the Social Security offset to the
23	Military Survivor Benefit Program is also a high pri-
24	ority which should not have been omitted from the
25	President's budget request, and accommodating the



1	discretionary accrual payment that is concomitant to
2	eliminating the offset is consistent with govern-
3	mental accounting practices;
4	(4) funding cooperative threat reduction and
5	nuclear nonproliferation programs at a level ade-
6	quate to the task and the risks posed to our Nation
7	is also a high priority, and the President's budget
8	does not request sufficient funding;
9	(5) providing for homeland security is also a
10	high priority, and the President's request is insuffi-
11	cient, reducing funds for high-risk activities like sea-
12	port security and underfunding first responders;
13	(6) funding the Missile Defense Agency at the
14	level enacted for 2004 will provide robust support
15	for ballistic missile defense;
16	(7) improving financial management at the De-
17	partment of Defense should help identify billions of
18	dollars of obligations and disbursements which the
19	General Accounting Office has found that the De-
20	partment of Defense cannot account for, and should
21	result in substantial annual savings;
22	(8) improving the award, oversight, and admin-
23	istration of nearly \$20 billion in contracts for the re-

construction of Iraq with firms such as Halliburton,

and recouping overpayments and penalties, by audit-



24

1	ing and investigating such contracts, diligently ap-
2	plying the Truth-in-Negotiations Act, should result
3	in substantial savings; and
4	(9) all savings that accrue from the actions rec-
5	ommended in paragraphs (6) through (9) should be
6	used to fund higher priorities within the national se-
7	curity function of the budget, function 50, and espe-
8	cially those high priorities identified in paragraphs
9	(1) through (5).
10	SEC. 505. SENSE OF THE HOUSE ON ELIMINATING THE
11	SHORTFALL IN THE PELL GRANT PROGRAM.
12	(a) FINDINGS.—The House finds that the Pell Grant
13	program has a shortfall of \$3.7 billion that threatens the
14	long-term stability of the program.
15	(b) SENSE OF THE HOUSE.—It is the sense of the
16	House that—
17	(1) the mandatory levels in this resolution pro-
18	vide the \$3.7 billion needed to eliminate the current
19	shortfall in the Pell Grant program;
20	(2) eliminating the shortfall in the Pell Grant
21	program restores the program to a sound financial
22	basis and allows Congress to consider an increase in
23	the maximum award



1	SEC. 506. SENSE OF THE HOUSE ON HOMELAND SECURITY.
2	(a) FINDINGS.—The House finds that additional re-
3	sources beyond those requested in the President's Fiscal
4	Year 2005 Budget are needed to further strengthen our
5	homeland security.
6	(b) SENSE OF THE HOUSE.—It is the sense of the
7	House that—
8	(1) this resolution provides \$1 billion in addi-
9	tional homeland security funding above the Presi-
10	dent's requested level for 2005, and \$1 billion above
11	the President's requested level in each subsequent
12	fiscal year; and
13	(2) the homeland security funding provided in
14	this resolution will help to strengthen the security of
15	our Nation's transportation system and other critical
16	infrastructure, including our seaports, secure our
17	borders, increase the preparedness of our public
18	health system, train and equip our first responders,
19	and otherwise strengthen the Nation's homeland se-
20	curity.
21	SEC. 507. SENSE OF THE HOUSE REGARDING PAY PARITY.
22	It is the sense of the House that—
23	(1) compensation for civilian and military em-
24	ployees of the United States, without whom we can-
25	not successfully serve and protect our citizens and

taxpayers, must be sufficient to support our critical



1	efforts to recruit, retain, and reward quality people
2	effectively and responsibly; and
3	(2) to achieve this objective, the rate of increase
4	in the compensation of civilian employees should be
5	equal to that proposed for the military in the Presi
6	dent's fiscal year 2005 budget.
7	SEC. 508. SENSE OF THE HOUSE REGARDING THE CON
8	SERVATION SPENDING CATEGORY.
9	(a) FINDINGS.—The House finds that—
10	(1) the 2001 Interior Appropriations Act (Pub-
11	lic Law 106–291), which established a separate dis-
12	cretionary spending category for land conservation
13	and natural resource protection programs for the fis-
14	cal years 2001 through 2006, passed by large mar-
15	gins in both the House and the Senate; and
16	(2) in establishing a separate conservation
17	spending category, Congress recognized the chronic
18	underfunding of programs that protect and enhance
19	public lands, wildlife habitats, urban parks, historic
20	and cultural landmarks, and coastal ecosystems.
21	(b) Sense of the House.—It is the sense of the
22	House that any law establishing new caps on discretionary
23	spending should include a separate conservation spending
4	category and that any caps on conservation spending for



1	fiscal years 2005 or 2006 should be set at the levels estab-
2	lished in Public Law 106–291.
3	SEC. 509. SENSE OF THE HOUSE REGARDING THE ARCTIC
4	NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE.
5	(a) FINDINGS.—The House finds that—
6	(1) President Eisenhower first set aside the
7	original Arctic National Wildlife Refuge in 1960 for
8	the purpose of protecting its wilderness, wildlife, and
9	recreational values; and
10	(2) while many refuges in America have been
11	set aside to protect wildlife populations and habitats,
12	the Arctic Refuge is the only refuge in which wilder-
13	ness was recognized as a purpose for establishment;
14	and
15	(3) in order to protect these unrivaled arctic
16	landscapes and wildlife values, Congress significantly
17	expanded the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge in
18	1980 with the passage of the Alaska National Inter-
19	est Lands Conservation Act (Public Law 96-487),
20	and protected the area against additional oil and gas
21	exploration or development; and
22	(4) the biological, cultural, historic, and sci-
23	entific attributes of the area are so rich and unique-
24	ly entwined, and the ecological integrity of the area
25	is so vulnerable to irreparable damage if oil develop-



1	ment is initiated, that the wilderness designation is
2	fully warranted.
3	(b) Sense of the House.—It is the sense of the
4	House that the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge should
5	continue to be protected from oil and gas leasing, explo-
6	ration, and related activities.
7	SEC. 510. SENSE OF THE HOUSE REGARDING THE HETCH
8	HETCHY RESERVOIR IN YOSEMITE NATIONAL
9	PARK.
10	(a) FINDINGS.—The House finds that—
11	(1) the City of San Francisco was authorized
12	by the United States Congress, in the Raker Act of
13	1913, to construct a dam and reservoir on the
14	Tuolumne River in Hetch Hetchy Valley in Yosemite
15	National Park; and
16	(2) since its completion in 1923, the City of
17	San Francisco has used water from the Hetch
18	Hetchy Reservoir for its water supply and electrical
19	power generation; and
20	(3) the City of San Francisco currently provides
21	between \$2 million and \$3 million annually to Yo-
22	semite National Park for use of the Hetch Hetchy
23	Reservoir; and
24	(4) any additional rental payments for the use
25	of the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir would in all likeli-



1	hood burden 2.4 million customers in the City and
2	County of San Francisco and the Counties of Santa
3	Clara, San Mateo, and Alameda who rely on its use
4	by raising the cost of drinking water.
5	(b) Sense of the House.—It is the sense of the
6	House that the Federal Government has long followed a
7	policy of exempting municipalities from annual licensing
8	fees for power used for municipal purposes or sold without
9	profit and that this long-standing policy should apply to
10	the Hetch Hetchy Reservoir.
11	SEC. 511. SENSE OF THE HOUSE REGARDING THE
12	OUACHITA-BLACK NAVIGATION PROJECT.
13	(a) FINDINGS.—The House finds that—
14	(1) the Ouachita-Black Navigation Project was
14 15	(1) the Ouachita-Black Navigation Project was authorized by the River and Harbor Act of 1950 and
15	authorized by the River and Harbor Act of 1950 and
15 16	authorized by the River and Harbor Act of 1950 and modified by the River and Harbor Act of 1960; and
15 16 17	authorized by the River and Harbor Act of 1950 and modified by the River and Harbor Act of 1960; and (2) a 382-mile navigation channel on the Red,
15 16 17 18	authorized by the River and Harbor Act of 1950 and modified by the River and Harbor Act of 1960; and (2) a 382-mile navigation channel on the Red, Black and Ouachita Rivers was created requiring
15 16 17 18 19	authorized by the River and Harbor Act of 1950 and modified by the River and Harbor Act of 1960; and (2) a 382-mile navigation channel on the Red, Black and Ouachita Rivers was created requiring annual dredging to ensure the rivers' channel depth
15 16 17 18 19 20	authorized by the River and Harbor Act of 1950 and modified by the River and Harbor Act of 1960; and (2) a 382-mile navigation channel on the Red, Black and Ouachita Rivers was created requiring annual dredging to ensure the rivers' channel depth is maintained at the nine feet needed for commercial
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	authorized by the River and Harbor Act of 1950 and modified by the River and Harbor Act of 1960; and (2) a 382-mile navigation channel on the Red, Black and Ouachita Rivers was created requiring annual dredging to ensure the rivers' channel depth is maintained at the nine feet needed for commercial use; and
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	authorized by the River and Harbor Act of 1950 and modified by the River and Harbor Act of 1960; and (2) a 382-mile navigation channel on the Red, Black and Ouachita Rivers was created requiring annual dredging to ensure the rivers' channel depth is maintained at the nine feet needed for commercial use; and (3) if adequate annual funding is not provided



1	and resulting in the loss of hundreds of jobs that are
2	dependent on barge traffic.
3	(b) SENSE OF THE HOUSE.—It is the sense of the
4	House that full funding should be provided for the
5	Ouachita-Black Navigation Project in 2005 and beyond,
6	notwithstanding the ton-mileage of barge traffic using the
7	project.
8	SEC. 512. SENSE OF THE HOUSE REGARDING THE NA-
9	TIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORA-
10	TION.
11	(a) FINDINGS.—The House finds that—
12	(1) Amtrak, the National Railroad Passenger
13	Corporation, operates over 22,000 miles, serves over
14	500 communities, and is responsible for transporting
15	more than 1.4 million commuter passengers daily;
16	and
17	(2) Amtrak ridership reached a record high in
18	2003, surpassing the 24 million mark for the first
19	time; and
20	(3) Amtrak continues to implement business re-
21	forms that have improved fiscal controls, more effi-
22	ciently used resources, and stabilized operations; and
23	(4) Amtrak has also embarked on a major cap-
24	ital improvement program, outlined in a Five-Year
25	Strategic Plan, that is designed to return the system



1	to a state of good repair so that passengers may
2	continue to depend on safe and reliable service; and
3	(5) in fiscal year 2005, Amtrak must begin to
4	address its current backlog of necessary capital im-
5	provements to avoid significant impairment in oper-
6	ations and reliability.
7	(b) Sense of the House.—It is the sense of the
8	House that the Federal Government should provide addi-
9	tional resources sufficient to allow Amtrak to implement
10	the improvements outlined in its Five-Year Strategic Plan
11	and proceed with internal reforms.
12	SEC. 513. SENSE OF THE HOUSE ON TAX SIMPLIFICATION
13	AND TAX FAIRNESS.
	AND TAX FAIRNESS. It is the sense of the House that—
14	
14 15	It is the sense of the House that—
14 15 16	It is the sense of the House that— (1) the current tax system has been made in-
14 15 16 17	It is the sense of the House that— (1) the current tax system has been made increasingly complex and unfair to the detriment of
14 15 16 17 18	It is the sense of the House that— (1) the current tax system has been made increasingly complex and unfair to the detriment of the vast majority of working Americans;
14 15 16 17 18	It is the sense of the House that— (1) the current tax system has been made increasingly complex and unfair to the detriment of the vast majority of working Americans; (2) constant change and manipulation of the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	It is the sense of the House that— (1) the current tax system has been made increasingly complex and unfair to the detriment of the vast majority of working Americans; (2) constant change and manipulation of the tax code have adverse effects on taxpayers' under-
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	It is the sense of the House that— (1) the current tax system has been made increasingly complex and unfair to the detriment of the vast majority of working Americans; (2) constant change and manipulation of the tax code have adverse effects on taxpayers' understanding and trust in the Nation's tax laws;
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	It is the sense of the House that— (1) the current tax system has been made increasingly complex and unfair to the detriment of the vast majority of working Americans; (2) constant change and manipulation of the tax code have adverse effects on taxpayers' understanding and trust in the Nation's tax laws; (3) these increases in complexity and clarity



1	(4) this budget resolution contemplates a com-
2	prehensive review of recent changes in the tax code,
3	leading to future action to reduce the tax burden
4	and compliance burden for middle-income workers
5	and their families in the context of tax reform that
6	makes the Federal tax code simpler and fairer to all
7	taxpayers.
8	SEC. 514. SENSE OF THE HOUSE ON ACCELERATING IN-
9	CREASED REFUNDABILITY OF THE CHILD
10	TAX CREDIT FOR LOW-INCOME FAMILIES.
11	(a) FINDINGS.—The House finds that—
12	(1) work is essential to promoting self-sufficient
13	families which help children set goals in life and
14	achieve them;
15	(2) workers of low and modest incomes have
16	seen their ability to provide for their children eroded
17	since 2001;
18	(3) members of the armed services serving in
19	combat should have all the means necessary for pro-
20	viding for their children; and
21	(4) 12 million children of American workers (at
22	least 200,000 in military families) will not benefit
23	from the expanded child tax credit in 2004.
24	(b) SENSE OF THE HOUSE.—It is the sense of the
25	House that the increase in the refundability of the child



1	tax credit from ten to fifteen percent of income between
2	\$10,500 and \$26,625 should be accelerated by one year
3	and should take effect in 2004; furthermore, other provi-
4	sions in the tax code notwithstanding, combat pay for
5	members of the Armed Services should be counted as
6	earned income for the purposes of calculating
7	refundability of the child tax credit.
8	SEC. 515. SENSE OF THE HOUSE REGARDING A TRIGGER
9	MECHANISM FOR PRESCRIPTION DRUG
10	PRICE NEGOTIATION.
11	(a) FINDINGS.—The House finds the following:
12	(1) The cost of the new Medicare law, esti-
13	mated by the Congressional Budget Office before its
14	passage to be \$395,000,000,000 over ten years, has
15	now been estimated by the Department of Health
16	and Human Services to be \$534,000,000,000 over
17	ten years. Rising drug prices can increase the cost
18	of the drug benefit and could end up shifting addi-
19	tional cost burdens to Medicare beneficiaries.
20	(2) Prescription drug spending increased 15.6
21	percent in 2002. These rising costs are one of the
22	primary drivers of increasing health care spending

which grew 9.3 percent in 2002.



1	(3) The Veterans' Administration as well as
2	every private insurer depends on bulk negotiation to
3	keep drug prices down.
4	(4) According to a study by the Inspector Gen
5	eral of the Department of Health and Human Serv
6	ices, Medicare payments for 24 leading drugs in
7	2000 were \$887,000,000 higher than actual whole
8 9	sale prices available to physicians and suppliers and #1,400,000,000 higher than prices available through
10	the Federal supply schedule used by the Departmen
11	of Veterans Affairs and other Federal purchasers.
12	(5) The private prescription drug plans pro
13	vided for in the Medicare law do not exist in the
14	marketplace. Therefore, it is impossible to predic
15	whether these private plans will in fact be able to ac
16	quire substantial discounts through negotiation. In
17	addition, private plans cannot take advantage of the
18	full purchasing power of 40,000,000 beneficiaries.
19	(b) SENSE OF THE HOUSE.—It is the sense of the
20	House that—
21	(1) legislation should be adopted which would
22	establish a trigger mechanism for negotiation of pre-
23	scription drug prices by the Secretary of Health and
24	Human Services; and



1	(2) this legislation would mandate that at any
2	point when the expected ten-year expenditures for
3	fiscal years 2004 through 2013 for Public Law 108–
4	173 exceed the Congressional Budget Office esti-
5	mate for this legislation, the Secretary of Health
6	and Human Services would be required to imme-
7	diately enter into direct negotiations with pharma-
8	ceutical manufacturers for competitive drug prices.

